

Some countries encourage teenagers to have part-time job and see it as a good thing. While others disagree. Give your opinion and explain both views.

Human beings have been always seeking professional workforce to advance their goals. Therefore, training and engaging children in work at their early ages have been one of the most ancient traditions in many nations. In the last century some countries have begun to break with tradition by adopting laws protecting teenagers. While others agree on the part-time presence of them in workplaces.

Most nations who are of the opinion that working of children is beneficial believe that they help countries' economy. In addition, children develop their skills and achieve their potential while they learn how to save their money and manage their budget. Working along with studying also provides the environment in which a child is trained to manage his/her time and avoids wasting time.

In contrast to this view, working as a child has been condemned as an attack on child-lawdecency by many countries. By way of illustration, youngsters may be abused in many situations, particularly in paying less money than they deserve. Besides, how could a child enjoy his/her childhood when there is no time for it? These countries generally give the first priority to the education of teenagers and stimulate their personal and social growth.

In conclusion, it's clear that this is not as straightforward as it appears. Although there are drawbacks which were mentioned above, some people persuade children to decide for themselves whether they will be able to work as well as they study or not. No matter how governments deal with this issue, it is parents who are the first and last reference who should be referred to.